ADI Journal on Recent Innovation (AJRI)
Vol. 6 No. 1 September 2024

p-ISSN : 2685-9106
e-ISSN : 2686-0384

Computational Support in Academic Peer Review: An Artificial Intelligence Perspective

Riswahyuni Widhawati[1], Suryari Purnama[2], Herman Purwoko Putro[3], Lumi Gantari[4], Shakeel Rahagi[5]
Universitas Ichsan Satya, Indonesia[1,3]
Universitas Esa Unggul, Indonesia[2]
ADI Journal Incorporation, USA[4]
Eesp Incorporation, British Indian Ocean[5]

e-mail: riswidhawati@gmail.com, suryari.purnama@esaunggul.ac.id, hermanpurwoko1163@gmail.com, lgantari120@adi-journal.org, shakeel.rahagi@eesp.io

Author Notification
09 July 2024
Final Revised
27 September 2024
Published
30 September 2024

To cite this document:
Widhawati, R., Purnama, S., Purwoko Putro, H., Gantari, L., & Rahagi, S. (2024). Computational Support in Academic Peer Review: An Artificial Intelligence Perspective. ADI Journal on Recent Innovation, 6(1), 74–80.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.34306/ajri.v6i1.1106

Abstract

Academic peer review plays a pivotal role in ensuring the quality and reliability of scientific publications. This study investigates the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) to provide computational support that enhances the efficiency, accuracy, and speed of the peer review process. Utilizing machine learning algorithms and big data modeling, the research successfully identifies strengths and weaknesses in scholarly manuscripts with a higher accuracy compared to traditional methods. The AI-based approach significantly reduces the time required for peer review without compromising quality. These findings demonstrate the potential of AI to transform the academic peer review process, improving publication quality and accelerating scientific discovery. Moreover, the integration of AI in peer review aligns with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure) by fostering innovation in the academic publishing process, and Goal 4 (Quality Education) by ensuring access to high-quality, reliable research. This study also highlights key implications, including ethical considerations and the potential future integration of AI in mainstream academic publishing platforms.

Keywords: *Academic Peer Review, Artificial Intelligence, Peer Review Efficiency, Machine Learning Algorithms, Big Data Modeling.*

1. Introduction

Academic peer review has long been a cornerstone of the scientific publication process, ensuring that research meets the necessary standards of quality, reliability, and validity [1]. This process relies on independent evaluations by experts who assess a manuscript's strengths, weaknesses, and overall contribution to the scientific field. However, the traditional peer review process is often time-consuming, prone to human error, and subject to biases, which can compromise the integrity and efficiency of scientific publishing [2]. The primary issue this research addresses is the growing need to optimize the peer review process, given the increasing volume of submissions and the demands for higher quality and faster publication timelines. Human reviewers, while essential, face limitations in managing the sheer scale of academic output [3]. This presents an opportunity to introduce Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a tool to support and enhance the peer review process [4].

The objective of this inquire about is to investigate how AI can give computational bolster to address the current wasteful aspects in peer audit [5]. AI advances, such as machine learning calculations and enormous information analytics, offer the potential to mechanize the recognizable proof of qualities and shortcomings in compositions more precisely and effectively than human commentators [6]. Moreover, AI can analyze tremendous datasets to identify noteworthy inquire about patterns, empowering a more comprehensive and educated survey prepare [7].

AI is crucial in this context because it can improve both the speed and accuracy of peer reviews, reduce reviewer workload, and minimize biases, ultimately leading to more objective and reliable assessments. This research specifically focuses on evaluating the use of AI for automating manuscript evaluation, enhancing the overall quality of reviews, and accelerating the peer review process [8].

The implications of this research extend beyond merely improving the peer review process. By demonstrating how AI can enhance the quality and efficiency of scientific publishing, the study aims to provide a framework for integrating advanced technologies in academic contexts [9]. This integration could lead to broader impacts on the scientific community, including faster dissemination of research findings, increased collaboration among researchers, and greater accessibility to high-quality publications. Moreover, the findings will align with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure) by driving innovation in scientific communication and fostering a more robust academic environment. In conclusion, this study seeks to demonstrate how the integration of AI in peer review can not only streamline the process but also contribute to broader scientific advancements by ensuring the timely dissemination of high-quality research.

2. Research Method

The research methodology employed in this study will be elaborated upon meticulously to elucidate the approach we have taken in investigating the role of artificial intelligence in supporting academic peer review [10]. This research will amalgamate literature analysis with an experimental approach to achieve our objectives.

2.1 Literature Review

Academic peer review is an essential process in scientific publishing, serving as a critical mechanism for ensuring that research adheres to established standards of quality, reliability, and validity before it is disseminated to the academic community [11]. This process involves independent evaluations conducted by subject-matter experts who assess the methodologies, data analyses, findings, and contributions of manuscripts to the existing body of literature. Despite its importance, traditional peer review can be time-consuming, subjective, and susceptible to biases, potentially undermining the integrity of the publication process [12]. The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) presents a transformative opportunity to address these challenges by employing machine learning algorithms capable of analyzing manuscripts with enhanced accuracy and efficiency [13]. AI systems can identify strengths and weaknesses within the texts, flagging common methodological errors and inconsistencies that human reviewers might overlook [14]. Furthermore, the integration of AI with big data modeling allows for the comprehensive collection and analysis of vast datasets, facilitating the identification of significant research trends and gaps across disciplines. Big data analytics can track citation

patterns and publication trends, providing context that enriches the peer review process and informs reviewers of the broader landscape of scholarly contributions [15]. By optimizing the evaluation process through AI and big data, academic publishing can achieve greater efficiency, consistency, and reliability, ultimately enhancing the quality of scientific literature and accelerating the pace of scientific discovery. Furthermore, these advancements align with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 4 (Quality Education) and Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure) [16]. By improving the rigor and accessibility of peer review, AI can help ensure that high-quality research contributes to educational outcomes and drives innovation across various fields [17]. Thus, the integration of these advanced technologies holds substantial promise for the future of academic peer review, contributing to a more objective and thorough assessment of research outputs while promoting sustainable development in the academic sector [18].

2.2 Data Collection

The foundation of this research is built upon a comprehensive literature review that explores key themes in peer review, the advancement of artificial intelligence (AI), and the intersection of these two fields [19]. Scholarly articles, books, and other resources were reviewed to capture the fundamental concepts underlying peer review, such as the role of human judgment in evaluating the quality and rigor of academic manuscripts [20]. As AI becomes more advanced, its potential to streamline and enhance processes like peer review has gained significant attention [21]. Therefore, the literature selected also focuses on cutting-edge AI methodologies, specifically those designed to improve the efficiency, accuracy, and fairness of peer review [22]. A key component of the literature review was also the exploration of big data analytics, which plays a critical role in managing the large volumes of manuscripts submitted to journals, enabling AI systems to identify patterns that would be impossible for human reviewers to detect [19].

2.3 Development of the Experimental Model

Based on the findings from the literature review, an experimental model was designed and developed, incorporating key elements of AI into the peer review process. This model utilizes machine learning algorithms to identify the strengths and weaknesses of academic manuscripts [23]. The dataset for this model consisted of both published and unpublished manuscripts collected from various journals in fields such as computer science, engineering, and social sciences [24]. The dataset comprises approximately 500 manuscripts, all of which had been previously reviewed by human reviewers, allowing for a comparison between the AI-generated evaluations and the human evaluations [25], [26]. The algorithms used in the development of this model include Natural Language Processing (NLP) to analyze the manuscript text and identify relevant linguistic patterns, Supervised Learning Algorithms such as Random Forest and Support Vector Machines (SVM) to classify the elements of the manuscripts as strengths or weaknesses, and Unsupervised Learning Algorithms such as K-Means Clustering to detect hidden patterns that can be used to identify research trends within the dataset [27].

2.4 Experiment Implementation

The experimental model developed in this research will be rigorously tested using relevant datasets that encompass a variety of scholarly manuscripts. The testing phase will focus on evaluating the model's ability to accurately identify strengths and weaknesses within the manuscripts, as well as its efficiency in facilitating the peer review process through artificial intelligence [28].

Upon the completion of the experiments, the generated data will be meticulously analyzed. This analysis aims to assess the extent to which artificial intelligence can enhance both the efficiency and accuracy of the academic peer review process. To achieve this, relevant evaluation metrics will be employed to compare the performance of the AI-driven model with traditional human peer review methods [29].

Based on the outcomes of this data analysis, a comprehensive conclusion will be formulated regarding the contribution of artificial intelligence to the academic peer review

process. These findings will be contextualized within the framework established in the literature review, and their implications will be discussed in light of potential future developments in the realm of scholarly publication [30].

This research methodology not only aids in identifying the potential of artificial intelligence to enhance the quality and efficiency of academic peer review but also provides a robust scientific foundation for ongoing discussions and advancements in this field. An overview of the research methodology is presented in Table 1, which outlines the various aspects of the study, including the literature analysis, the development of the experimental model, model testing, evaluation of results, and the research conclusion. Each aspect is described in detail, highlighting the systematic approach taken to assess the role of artificial intelligence in supporting academic peer review.

Table 1. Research Methodology on the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Supporting Academic Peer Review

Aspect	Description
Literature Analysis	Comprehensive analysis of literature including scholarly papers, books, and other relevant resources. The focus will be on fundamental concepts of peer review, recent developments in artificial intelligence, and the potential integration of the two.
Development of Experimental Model	Design and development of an experimental model that integrates artificial intelligence into the peer review process, using appropriate datasets and machine learning algorithms to identify strengths and weaknesses in scholarly manuscripts.
Model Testing	Testing the developed experimental model with relevant datasets of various scholarly manuscripts. The testing will analyze the model's accuracy and efficiency in identifying strengths and weaknesses and conducting the peer review process.
Evaluation of Results	Analysis of data generated from the experiments to assess how artificial intelligence can enhance efficiency and accuracy in academic peer review. Using relevant evaluation metrics to compare this method with traditional human peer review.
Research Conclusion	Formulating a comprehensive conclusion based on data analysis results, regarding the contribution of artificial intelligence to the academic peer review process. Discussing these findings in the context of the literature review and evaluating their implications for future developments in scholarly publication.

3. Findings

In this research, we conducted experiments to evaluate the role of artificial intelligence (AI) in supporting the academic peer review process, developing an experimental model that integrated machine learning algorithms to automatically analyze scholarly manuscripts. Our findings indicate that the model demonstrated a high level of accuracy in identifying weaknesses and strengths within the manuscripts, successfully recognizing methodological errors, data inconsistencies, and other critical issues that could impact research quality. Additionally, the implementation of AI led to substantial improvements in time efficiency, allowing peer reviews to be completed in a matter of hours rather than days, thereby facilitating quicker dissemination of research findings and benefiting authors, editors, and the broader scientific community. These results highlight the potential of AI to enhance the academic peer review process and underscore its alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 4

(Quality Education) and Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure), by improving the quality and accessibility of scientific research. However, several challenges must be addressed, including the potential for bias in AI models, data privacy concerns, and the risk of over-reliance on automated systems, which could undermine the essential role of human reviewers. Future research should focus on enhancing algorithm transparency, developing bias detection and correction mechanisms, and establishing ethical guidelines for AI usage in peer review to ensure responsible application. By addressing these challenges, the integration of AI in academic peer review can be optimized, contributing to a more efficient, objective, and reliable evaluation process while promoting sustainable development in scholarly publishing.

4. Conclusion

The findings of this research reveal the significant impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on enhancing the academic peer review process through the developed experimental model. The model utilized machine learning algorithms to perform a comprehensive analysis of scholarly manuscripts, focusing on the identification of strengths and weaknesses.

Identification of Weaknesses and Strengths: The experimental model successfully demonstrated a high level of accuracy in recognizing various methodological errors, inconsistencies in data presentation, and flaws in logical argumentation within the manuscripts. Specifically, the model was able to flag issues such as inadequate sample sizes, statistical errors, and missing citations that could potentially undermine the credibility of the research. This capability underscores the potential of AI to act as a valuable tool for human reviewers, assisting them in identifying critical aspects of a manuscript that may be overlooked due to the subjective nature of traditional peer review. The findings indicate that the integration of AI can significantly enhance the quality of the peer review process by providing objective evaluations that complement the expertise of human reviewers.

Efficiency in Peer Review: In terms of efficiency, the experimental model exhibited remarkable improvements in the time required to complete the peer review process. Tasks that typically took human reviewers several days to assess were accomplished by the AI model in just a few hours, without compromising the quality of the assessments. This substantial reduction in review time is particularly beneficial in the fast-paced academic environment, where timely publication is crucial for researchers aiming to share their findings with the scientific community. The ability of AI to process and evaluate manuscripts rapidly allows for a more streamlined review process, enabling quicker feedback for authors and fostering a more dynamic exchange of scientific knowledge.

These findings align with the objectives of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 4 (Quality Education) and Goal 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure). By enhancing the quality and efficiency of peer review, AI contributes to improved educational outcomes and promotes innovation within academic publishing. The integration of AI facilitates a more rigorous assessment of research outputs and democratizes access to high-quality research, ensuring that scholarly contributions are evaluated fairly and efficiently.

In summary, the findings of this research highlight the transformative potential of artificial intelligence in the academic peer review process. The model's ability to accurately identify weaknesses and strengths, coupled with significant improvements in review efficiency, provides a compelling case for the broader adoption of AI technologies in scholarly publishing. By addressing the challenges associated with traditional peer review, AI can play a critical role in advancing the quality and integrity of scientific research.

References

[1] A. Checco, L. Bracciale, P. Loreti, S. Pinfield, and G. Bianchi, "AI-assisted peer review," *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Commun.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2021.

[2] Y. K. Dwivedi *et al.*, "Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy," *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 57, p. 101994, 2021.

[3] H. Hua, Y. Li, T. Wang, N. Dong, W. Li, and J. Cao, "Edge computing with artificial

intelligence: A machine learning perspective," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 55, no. 9, pp. 1–35, 2023.
[4] A. Bhutoria, "Personalized education and artificial intelligence in United States, China, and India: A systematic Review using a Human-In-The-Loop model," *Comput. Educ. Artif. Intell.*, p. 100068, 2022.
[5] F. Pedro, M. Subosa, A. Rivas, and P. Valverde, "Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development," 2019.
[6] A. Bozkurt, A. Karadeniz, D. Baneres, A. E. Guerrero-Roldán, and M. E. Rodríguez, "Artificial intelligence and reflections from educational landscape: A review of AI Studies in half a century," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 2, p. 800, 2021.
[7] F. Al Husseiny, "Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A New Horizon," in *Handbook of Research on AI Methods and Applications in Computer Engineering*, IGI Global, 2023, pp. 295–315.
[8] P. Grover, A. K. Kar, and Y. K. Dwivedi, "Understanding artificial intelligence adoption in operations management: insights from the review of academic literature and social media discussions," *Ann. Oper. Res.*, vol. 308, no. 1, pp. 177–213, 2022.
[9] Y. Xu *et al.*, "Artificial intelligence: A powerful paradigm for scientific research," *Innov.*, vol. 2, no. 4, 2021.
[10] X. Zhai *et al.*, "A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020," *Complexity*, vol. 2021, no. 1, p. 8812542, 2021.
[11] M. Giannakos, I. Voulgari, S. Papavlasopoulou, Z. Papamitsiou, and G. Yannakakis, "Games for artificial intelligence and machine learning education: Review and perspectives," *Non-formal informal Sci. Learn. ICT era*, pp. 117–133, 2020.
[12] M. G. Hardini, N. A. Yusuf, and A. R. A. Zahra, "Convergence of Intelligent Networks: Harnessing the Power of Artificial Intelligence and Blockchain for Future Innovations," *ADI J. Recent Innov.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 200–209, 2024.
[13] J. Jiménez-Luna, F. Grisoni, N. Weskamp, and G. Schneider, "Artificial intelligence in drug discovery: recent advances and future perspectives," *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 949–959, 2021.
[14] C. Lukita, M. H. R. Chakim, R. Supriati, N. P. L. Santoso, and M. F. Kamil, "Exploration of Perceived Use of Technology Using A Digital Business Perspective," *ADI J. Recent Innov.*, vol. 5, no. 1Sp, pp. 87–96, 2023.
[15] V. González-Calatayud, P. Prendes-Espinosa, and R. Roig-Vila, "Artificial intelligence for student assessment: A systematic review," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 12, p. 5467, 2021.
[16] H. Crompton and D. Burke, "Artificial intelligence in higher education: the state of the field," *Int. J. Educ. Technol. High. Educ.*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 22, 2023.
[17] S. Atmadja, "A New Method for Obtaining Global Estimates of Maternal Mortality," *ADI J. Recent Innov.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 115–120, 2022.
[18] S. Kosasi, C. Lukita, M. H. R. Chakim, A. Faturahman, and D. A. R. Kusumawardhani, "The Influence of Digital Artificial Intelligence Technology on Quality of Life with a Global Perspective," *Aptisi Trans. Technopreneursh.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 240–250, 2023.
[19] E. Dimitriadou and A. Lanitis, "A critical evaluation, challenges, and future perspectives of using artificial intelligence and emerging technologies in smart classrooms," *Smart Learn. Environ.*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 12, 2023.
[20] I. Celik, M. Dindar, H. Muukkonen, and S. Järvelä, "The promises and challenges of artificial intelligence for teachers: A systematic review of research," *TechTrends*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 616–630, 2022.
[21] A. Trawnih, S. Al-Masaeed, M. Alsoud, and A. Alkufahy, "Understanding artificial intelligence experience: A customer perspective," *Int. J. Data Netw. Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1471–1484, 2022.
[22] S. Pranata, K. Hadi, M. H. R. Chakim, Y. Shino, and I. N. Hikam, "Business Relationship in Business Process Management and Management with the Literature Review Method," *ADI J. Recent Innov.*, vol. 5, no. 1Sp, pp. 45–53, 2023.
[23] B. Cope, M. Kalantzis, and D. Searsmith, "Artificial intelligence for education: Knowledge and its assessment in AI-enabled learning ecologies," *Educ. Philos. theory*, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 1229–1245, 2021.

[24] J. Ranjan and C. Foropon, "Big Data Analytics in Building the Competitive Intelligence of Organizations," *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, vol. 56, no. February 2020, p. 102231, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102231.
[25] M. H. R. Chakim, A. Kho, N. P. L. Santoso, and H. Agustian, "Quality Factors of Intention To Use in Artificial Intelligence-Based AIKU Applications," *ADI J. Recent Innov.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 72–85, 2023.
[26] O. Jayanagara and D. S. S. Wuisan, "An Overview of Concepts, Applications, Difficulties, Unresolved Issues in Fog Computing and Machine Learning," *Int. Trans. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 213–229, 2023.
[27] S. Yerlikaya and Y. Ö. Erzurumlu, "Artificial Intelligence in Public Sector: A Framework to Address Opportunities and Challenges," *Fourth Ind. Revolut. Implement. Artif. Intell. Grow. Bus. Success*, pp. 201–216, 2021.
[28] D. Helbing, "Societal, economic, ethical and legal challenges of the digital revolution: from big data to deep learning, artificial intelligence, and manipulative technologies," *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1504.03751*, 2015.
[29] K. KUNAL, K. R. RAMPRAKASH, C. J. O. E. ARUN, and M. J. XAVIER, "A BEHAVIOURAL STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON CUSTOMER SERVICES RETENTION IN TELECOM INDUSTRY," *Russ. Law J.*, vol. 11, no. 5s, 2023.
[30] O. A. Gansser and C. S. Reich, "A new acceptance model for artificial intelligence with extensions to UTAUT2: An empirical study in three segments of application," *Technol. Soc.*, vol. 65, p. 101535, 2021.